UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD REGION 21

UTILITY WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA AFL-CIO (UWUA); INTERNATIONAL CHEMICAL WORKERS UNION COUNCIL-UNITED FOOD & COMMERCIAL WORKERS (ICWUC): AND THE UWUA-ICWUC JOINT STEERING COMMITTEE Case No. 21-CB-14820

-and-

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

SUPPLEMENT TO
INTERNATIONAL CHEMICAL
WORKERS UNION COUNCIL'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

Now comes the International Chemical Workers Union Council of the United Food & Commercial Workers ("ICWUC"), by and through the undersigned counsel, pursuant to NLRB Rule 102.24 and 102.50, and hereby supplements its motion for summary judgment.

The ICWUC understands that some have taken, or will take, the position that the ICWUC's motion for summary judgment is not timely. The ICWUC strongly disagrees. As explained more fully in its motion, the ICWUC was not served with the Charge, the Amended Charge, or the Complaint in the above action. Instead, the ICWUC received the Amended Complaint for the first time (from any source) on June 8, 2010, with an Answer date of June 18, 2010. Its motion for summary judgment was filed on the Answer date along with its Answer to the Amended Complaint. Certainly, under the circumstances of this case, the filing was done "promptly," as contemplated by NLRB Rule 102.24, after the ICWUC *first* was served with a pleading in this case.

Moreover, this matter arguably involves "one of those 'infinitesimally small abstract grievances [that] must give way to actual and existing legal problems if... [the NLRB is] ... to dispose

of [its] heavy calendars.' *See*, <u>American Federation of Musicians</u>, Local 76, 202 NLRB 620, 621 (1973). While there are important defenses of improper service that might have to be addressed here, the substantive alleged breach of the Act involves merely an alleged delay in executing a mere handbook-version of a labor agreement, that *already* (and since then) had been (and, again, has been) signed, an agreement that was put into effect by all parties *previously*, as explained in the motion, shortly after it was signed on January 31, 2009. At this point, whether there was even a minimal violation of the Act by the ICWUC is almost a mere academic matter. Thus, neither the Division of Judges, nor the parties, nor the Board, should be subjected to the more expensive procedure of a hearing, if this matter can be resolved through a motion.

WHEREFORE, the ICWUC respectfully requests that its motion be granted and that the Amended Complaint be dismissed in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Randall Vehar

Randall Vehar (Ohio Bar No.0008177)
UFCW Assistant General Counsel/
Counsel for ICWUC
1799 Akron Peninsula Road
Akron, OH 44313
330/926-1444
330/926-0950 FAX
RVehar@ufcw.org

UFCW Assistant General Counsel/
Counsel for ICWUC 1799 Akron Peninsula Road
Akron, OH 44313
330/926-1444
330/926-0950 FAX
RLowrey@ufcw.org

I hereby certify that on the 22nd day of June, 2010, a copy of the foregoing was emailed to the following persons and was filed electronically with the Board's Washington, D.C. office:

Christopher M. Bissonnette, Esq.
Southern California Gas Company
555 West 5th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013

James F. Small, Regional Director
National Labor Relations Board
Region 21
888 South Figueroa Street
9th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5449
nlrbRegion21@nlrb.gov

Ellen Greenstone, Esq. ROTHNER, SEGALL, GREENSTONE & LEHENY 510 South Marengo Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101-3115

egreenstone@RSGLABOR.com

Attorney for Joint Steering Committee and Utility Workers

s/Randall Vehar	
Randall Vehar, Esq.	